## Google Was Working To Get Hillary Clinton Elected With Silent Donation According To Leaked Internal Email

```
ofil
e
pict
ure
for
use
r
Tyl
er
Dur
de
n
```

Tucker Carlson just blew the cover off the 2016 election influence charade, after he read an internal email on Monday night's show from a senior Google employee who admitted to using company resources to make a "silent donation" to a liberal group that was creating ads and donating funds to bus Latinos to voting stations during the 2016 election in key swing states, in an effort to help Hillary Clinton win.

The email was sent by the former head of Google's multicultural marketing department, Eliana Mario, on November 9, 2016.

"That email was subsequently forwarded by two Google VP's to more staff members throughout the company," says Carlson, adding "In her email, Mario touts Google's multi-faceted efforts to boost Hispanic turnout in the election. She noticed that Latino voters did recordbreaking numbers, especially in states like Florida, Nevada and Arizona - the last of which she describes as "a key state for us." She brags that the company used its power to ensure that millions of people saw certain hashtags and social media impressions, with the goal of influencing their behavior during the election."

Elsewhere in the email Mario says
"Google supported partners like
Voto Latino to pay for rides to the
polls in key states."

She describes this assistance as a "silent donation"

Mario then says that Google helped Voto Latino create ad campaigns to promote those rides. Now officially Voto Latino is a non-partisan entity, but that is a sham. Voto Latino is vocally partisan. Recently the group declared that Hispanics - ALL Hispanics are in President Trump's "crosshairs." They said they plan to respond to this by registering another million

additional Hispanic voters in the next Presidential cycle.

---

It was, in effect, an in-kind contribution to the Hillary Clinton for President campaign.

---

In the end, Google was disappointed. As Mario herself conceded "ultimately after all was said and done, the Latino community did come out to vote, and completely surprised us. We never anticipated that 29% of Latinos would vote for Trump. No one did. -Tucker Carlson

Watch:



## **Andrew Surabian**



So it looks like @Google executives have been caught red-handed trying to throw the election to Hillary Clinton in 2016.

Maybe that's why they refused to appear before Congress last week?breitbart.com/biggovernment...

6:27 PM - Sep 10, 2018

○ 6,111 ○ 3,877 people are talking abou... •

This, of course, isn't the first evidence of Google doing all they could to help Hillary win the election. In an April 15, 2014 email from Google's then-Executive Chairman Eric Schmidt found in the WikiLeaked Podesta emails, titled "Notes for a 2016 Democratic Campaign," Schmidt tells Cheryl Mills that "I have put together my thoughts on the campaign ideas and I have scheduled some meetings in the next few weeks for veterans of the campaign to tell me how to make these ideas better. This is simply a draft but do let me know if this is a helpful process for you all."

View image on Twitter

●





Google head Eric Schmidt's secret strategic plan for the US election #PodestaEmails wikileaks.org/podesta-emails...

More: wikileaks.org/google-is-not-...

3:34 PM - Oct 31, 2016

 $\bigcirc$  6,273  $\bigcirc$  8,326 people are talking about ...

While there are numerous curious nuances in the plan, presented below in its entirety, the one section that caught our - and Wikileaks' attention - is the following which implicitly suggests Google planned the creation of a voter tracking database, using smart phones:

Key is the development of a single record for a voter that aggregates all that is known about them. In 2016 smart phones will be used to identify, meet, and update profiles on the voter. A dynamic volunteer can easily speak with a voter and, with their email or other digital handle, get the voter videos and other answers to areas they care about ("the benefits of ACA to you" etc.)

As a reminder, in late October of 2016 it was revealed that just days prior to the April 15, 2014 email, Schmidt had sent another email in which he expressed his eagerness to "fund" the campaign efforts and wants to be a "head outside advisor." In the email from John Podesta to Robby Mook we learned that:

I met with Eric Schmidt tonight. As
David reported, he's ready to fund,
advise recruit talent, etc. He was
more deferential on structure than I
expected. Wasn't pushing to run
through one of his existing firms.
Clearly wants to be head outside
advisor, but didn't seem like he
wanted to push others out. Clearly
wants to get going. He's still in DC
tomorrow and would like to meet with
you if you are in DC in the afternoon.
I think it's worth doing. You around?
If you are, and want to meet with
him, maybe the four of us can get on
t

Another email from February 2015 suggested that the Google Chairman remained active in its collaboration with the Clinton campaign: John Podesta wrote that Eric Schmidt met with HR "about the business he proposes to do with the campaign. He says he's met with HRC" and adds that "FYI. They are donating the Google plane for the Africa trip"

Meanwhile, according to a *Breitbart* report by Allum Bokhari, "**By inserting negative search suggestions** 

under the name of a candidate, search engines like Google can shift the opinions of undecided voters by up to 43.4 percent, according to new research by a team at the American Institute for Behavioral Research and Technology and reported exclusively by Breitbart News."

The lead author of the study, Dr. Robert Epstein, has previously conducted research into what he calls the Search Engine Manipulation Effect (SEME). This research showed that the manipulation of results pages in search engines can shift the voting preferences of undecideds by anywhere between 20 and 80 percent, depending on the demographic.

His latest research looks at how search engines can affect voters by suggesting negative or positive search terms when a political candidate's name is entered into the search bar. Dr. Epstein's research found that when negative search terms are suggested for a candidate, it can have a dramatic effect on voter opinion. - Breitbart

So, despite Google's best efforts to help Clinton win the election, it simply wasn't enough.

Meanwhile, Google has yet to answer why their search results for the word "**Idiot**" are vastly different from DuckDuckGo:

VS: